

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Asian Journal of Research in Animal and Veterinary Sciences |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_AJRAVS_95813 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Coprospectical approach to assess the current epidemiology of bovine paramphistomiasis at Babuganj, Barishal district, Bangladesh |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajravs.com/index.php/AJRAVS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|---|---|
| Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u> | It is abosolutely of interest Bovine Parampisyomiasis ifections at ... Is ok Is ok, in results more information of the herds sampled is fine, e.g. herd size, milking cows? Some about ration and production, pastured with sheep or?? Yes is correct Is ok for me | |
| Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | I am not a native speaker, so I can not say so much about that | |
| Optional/General comments | The sampling started in 2021? Please present your results with 1 figure behind the dot, so: 28.3% in abstract etc. and CI with max 2 figures behind the dot. M&M You mentioned the area's but not if all area were equal represented Results: see above + clinical symptoms of cattle e.g. condition p-values max 2 figures behind the dot., also other data results in results: sex is not significant, it is a trend in statistical mean. After some corrections and additional information, we can make it valuable | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|---|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u> No, not at all | |

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|---|-----------------------|
| Name: | Menno Holzauer |
| Department, University & Country | Netherlands |