

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Probability and Statistics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPAS_95932
Title of the Manuscript:	Solution Of Dynamic System That Models Natural Resources Using the Second Stage Runge Kutta Case Study: Fishes and Water Resources Management
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajpas.com/index.php/AJPAS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. The manuscript is important for scientific community. It presents a study of a dynamical system which models natural resources</p> <p>2. The title of the article is suitable</p> <p>3. The abstract of the article is comprehensive</p> <p>4. The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate</p> <p>5. I think the manuscript is scientifically correct</p> <p>6. The references are sufficient but not to recent</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>1. The language quality of the article suitable is for scholarly communications, but I recommend checking the expression.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - It does not count “;” before the formulas - Attention to the reference of the formulas (it does not exist 3.3, 3.9 , 3.10 etc) (pages 2, 3) - What means $r=0(1)P-1$ before the formula (2.8) page 2? - Must write $O(h^3)$, not $0(h^3)$ - Page 4: (the first row form section 2.2) appear $p(t)$ $P(t)$ - From page 6 the font is bigger - The references must be in alphabetical order 	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Georgescu Raluca Mihaela
Department, University & Country	University of Pitesti, Romania