

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOB_95494
Title of the Manuscript:	NUTRACEUTICAL ROLE OF HONEY AND GARLIC (<i>Allium sativum</i>) ON HAEMATOLOGICAL AND PLASMA-ANTIOXIDANT PROFILE OF AFRICAN CATFISH (<i>Clarias gariepinus</i>)
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajob.com/index.php/AJOB/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p><i>The manuscript is fall in the scope and aims of AJOB</i> <i>The manuscript is important for the scientific community</i> <i>The title of the article is suitable</i> <i>The abstract is comprehensive</i> <i>Introduction is very long</i> <i>Discussion should be based upon discussing the obtained results with those of the previous investigators results</i> <i>There is no data availability</i> <i>The most used references need to be more update</i> <i>The most used references contain more than 6 authors --Why</i> <i>What a huge number (58)???</i></p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Elsayed Eldeeb Mehana Hamouda
Department, University & Country	Alexandria University, Egypt