

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medical Principles and Clinical Practice
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMPCP_95717
Title of the Manuscript:	PERCEPTION, ACCEPTANCE AND READINESS TO IMPLEMENT ELECTRONIC HEALTH MANAGEMENT INFORMATION SYSTEM (EHMIS) AMONG HEALTH PROFESSIONALS IN LAUTECH TEACHING HOSPITAL, OGBOMOSO, OYO STATE
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajmpcp.com/index.php/AJMPCP/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>YES</p> <p>YES</p> <p>YES</p> <p>YES</p> <p>YES</p> <p>YES</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>YES</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Congratulations to the author. Well written article with good details and adequate references Adeqaute graphs and tables, Must be published . Minor suggestions: 1. Please mention about the participant consent and ethical board clearance even in the abstract. 2. Leave one inch space before the start of every article 3. Mention the statistical test used even in the abstract 4. Add a short one paragraph introduction before the background 5. Add the need for the study or significant outcome in a small paragraph 6. Author can bring in his or her own expressions and opinions in the conclusion Well done. Keep it up!</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mary Anbarasi Johnson
Department, University & Country	Christian Medical College, India