

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medical Principles and Clinical Practice
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMPCP_95464
Title of the Manuscript:	Perceived Stress and Coping Strategies among Medical Students in a Tertiary Institution in Southwest Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajmpcp.com/index.php/AJMPCP/editorial-policy> )

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Yes, stress is paplites, trikdantis darbinguma, sveikata, lydi savizudybes ir kt pasekmes.</b></li> <li>2. <b>Maybe be better: Perceived Stress and Coping Strategies among Medical Students in Southwest Nigeria.</b></li> <li>3. <b>In abstracts result field it is not necessary put SD and p numbers as here must be presented only significant findings. Conclusion should answer the aim- stress level and coping strategies. All details- to results field.</b></li> <li>4. <b>Methodology- weak. Study area- what is the purpose of detailed description of university location? Was the ethic permission for study? How the participant sample was selected? When study was made? Inclusion/exclusion criteria, how much was distributed, how much the questionnaire was filled properly. Results. It is necessary to unify the tenses, because part is described as "is", part - as "was". More clear description of results would be needed. Discussion better start with the general result- fulfilling of aim, continuing by main results, comparing with other authors and interpretation. Do comparing prevalence of stress intensity in your work with overall stress prevalence is correct? Mostly we are explaining, comparing only significant results. Compare with nonmedical students. Task about poor response could be putted at the end as limitation. What were relations of stress level and coping strategy to show what stretagy is most effective? Conclusion- too long, better fits to discussion- should be concrete, clear, made according study aim and results.</b></li> <li>5. <b>Mostly</b></li> <li>6. <b>Some are older, some correction of citing style could be needed.</b> <a href="https://www.dovepress.com/the-prevalence-of-stress-among-medical-students-studying-an-integrativ-peer-reviewed-fulltext-article-AMEP">https://www.dovepress.com/the-prevalence-of-stress-among-medical-students-studying-an-integrativ-peer-reviewed-fulltext-article-AMEP</a> <a href="https://mecp.springeropen.com/articles/10.1186/s43045-021-00158-w">https://mecp.springeropen.com/articles/10.1186/s43045-021-00158-w</a></li> </ol>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></li> </ol>	yes	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	Keyword Nigeria?	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Lolita Rapoliene</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Klaipeda University, Lithuania</b>