

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Asian Journal of Language, Literature and Culture Studies |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_AJL2C_95873 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Storytelling of Religious Meaning on Tourist Attraction Sunan Kalijaga's Heirlooms Washing Phenomenological Perspective |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajl2c.com/index.php/AJL2C/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|---|
| <p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p> | <p>This manuscript is very important to the scientific community because a lot of things are viewed and it is very interesting to read.</p> <p>The title of the article is very appropriate</p> <p>The abstract is complete and represents what was explored in the research</p> <p>The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate</p> <p>This manuscript is correct according to scientific rules</p> <p>For Point number 6, it would be nice to add the existing references to Sunan Kalijaga. In the campus library of Sunan Kalijaga State Islamic University, Yogyakarta, there are quite a lot of references about Sunan Kalijaha. Or you can contact the library directly there, I think it will be richer regarding the references. However, what has been included in this study is representative enough.</p> | |
| <p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | <p>as far as I have read, the English in writing this article is quite good and in accordance with existing scientific principles</p> | |
| <p>Optional/General comments</p> | <p>Nothing</p> | |

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Name: | Muhammad Rapiq Hilal |
| Department, University & Country | Sunan Kalijaga State Islamic University, Indonesia |