

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Food Research and Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFRN_95861
Title of the Manuscript:	Effects of Various Solvents on the Extraction of Bioactive Compounds and Antioxidant Activity from the Peels of Lotkon ( <i>Baccaurea Motleyana Müll. Arg.</i> ) and Longan ( <i>Dimocarpus Longan Lour.</i> )
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://journalajfrn.com/index.php/AJFRN/editorial-policy>

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. This article provides important information regarding the effects of various solvents on the extraction of bioactive compounds and antioxidant activity from the peels of Lotkon (<i>Baccaurea Motleyana Müll. Arg.</i>) and Longan (<i>Dimocarpus Longan Lour.</i>) The manuscript is well written, has important message, and should be of great interest to the readers.</p> <p>2. The title is adequate to the content of the article.</p> <p>3. The abstract of the article is well written, adequately explains the research setting and issues.</p> <p>4. The Introduction gives a suitable description of the addressed problem and it shows the significance of the research problem. The methodology used is well described and appropriate to the purpose of the research. The results are interesting and important to researchers in relevant fields of medicine and food industry.</p> <p>5. The work is understandable, correct and scientifically adequate. The purpose of and problems to solve in the work are clearly stated. The purpose or goal of the work is within the journal's scope.</p> <p>6. The number of references is limited and some corrections need to be made.</p> <p>However, some minor corrections should be made in order to publish the article. Please, take into account the following recommendations to improve this manuscript:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- The general presentation of the manuscript should be reviewed, the extra spaces between words or the lack of spaces between titles, subtitles, table and text according to the requirements of the journal;</li> <li>- I recommend a revision of the manuscript according to the requirements of the journal (English, technical editing, bibliography, etc.);</li> <li>- I recommend the inclusion of a larger number of more recent studies on the subject and their insertion in bibliographic references;</li> <li>- I recommend the complete insertion of the table on a single page (see: table 1, pages 4-5);</li> <li>- For the publication of this study, I recommend supplementing it with other determinations to support its importance and opportunity;</li> <li>- The presentation of the results obtained from this study is very brief, with few references to other studies with similar results and I recommend a review of this issue;</li> <li>- The presentation of the Conclusions is very short.</li> </ul> <p>Based on the above mentioned, I recommend this paper for publication after performing the suggested corrections.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>I recommend a carefully check of the full manuscript to correct any grammatical or syntax error.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Daniela Stoin</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Life Sciences "King Michael I", Romania</b>