

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Food Research and Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFRN_95746
Title of the Manuscript:	Household food and nutrition security in the city of Abeche
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://journalajfrn.com/index.php/AJFRN/editorial-policy>

PART 1: Review Comment

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. -YES- .As this study has highlighted the contributing factors of household food and nutrition insecurity in the city of Abéché through an in-depth FNS assessment and the identification of the characteristics of those most affected by food and nutrition insecurity.</p> <p>2. The title of the article is more appropriate for the study conducted.</p> <p>3. Abstract is written in an acceptable form , contains brief introduction, sample, methods and materials, results and conclusion. Mentioned key words.</p> <p>4. Manuscript is well organised and well written.</p> <p>5. Yes. Starting to end followed all the principles in uniform way. Needed tables and figures were appropriately displayed.</p> <p>6. Sufficient and recent journal references were taken.</p> <p>With my opinion it is a very good study. Economic vulnerability of households leads them to resort to irreversible coping strategies leading to an accelerated depletion of their assets. Households therefore find themselves in a circle of poor nutrition and reduced adaptive capacity. This study therefore constitutes a decision-making aid tool in order to effectively combat food and nutritional insecurity.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

Minor REVISION comments		
1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Used simple language, which can be read by any person. Hence suitable for scholarly communications.	
Optional/General comments	Problem statement is most appropriate. Sample size calculated with appropriate formula. Tools and techniques were suitable. Study design was appropriate. Statistical calculations were more suitable. Sufficient references were taken. Overall study is conducted scientifically and good.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	A. Padmaja
Department, University & Country	Sri Venkateswara Institute of Medical Sciences, India