

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFAR_95705
Title of the Manuscript:	Growth Performance and Survival Climbing Perch ( <i>Anabas testudineus</i> Bloch) from Fry Size, Conventional and Bioflocs System
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajfar.com/index.php/AJFAR/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	1. Yes. The manuscript is good and can be applied for fish industry and community. 2. Yes 3. Yes 4. Some of them are not appropriate. Follow the regulation of the journal 5. Yes 6. Yes 7. There are several grammatical errors. Please use Grammarly to improve the manuscript. 8. For the formula, use the equation, so the formula is better. 9. There are two fonts applied in this manuscript (Arial & Times New Roman). Use one font only. 10. Revise figures in this manuscript. No need lines inside the graph. 11. Part 3. Results and Discussion and Part 4. Discussion. Is it similar or not? 12. Elaborate the advantage your study compared to other studies.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language needs to be improvised, there is Indonesian language detected in this manuscript.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Muhammad Abdurrahman Munir
Department, University & Country	Alma Ata University, Indonesia