

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_95810
Title of the Manuscript:	FACTORS INFLUENCING PUPIL TRANSITION FROM PRIMARY TO SECONDARY SCHOOL IN HULUGHO SUB-COUNTY, KENYA.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) The manuscript is very important for scientific community. This is because it addresses the need to bridge the gap existing in learners' efficient transition from the primary school level to the secondary school. 2) Pupils' Transition from Primary to Secondary School: Implications for educational resource management in Hulugho Sub-County, Kenya. 3) The abstract is not coherently articulate. The author should expunge irrelevant information from the abstract. A maximum of 250 words should be acceptable. 4) Very appropriate 5) The manuscript might be reworked with minor corrections to scientifically add value to the research community. Please, descriptive survey design would be adequate for the study. Also, mean and standard deviation should be adopted for statistical analysis. A comparative analysis of male and female pupils' transition from primary to secondary school could be examined in the study using independent t-test. These would help to enhance the quality of the article. 6) The reference format should adopt APA style of 6th edition to enhance the quality of the article. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) The author should please thoroughly edit/vet the English language of the article to enhance readability and effective communication 	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>after the minor corrections</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>Please, the procedures for research approval and permission from the respondents before carrying out this study should be reported adequately by the researcher which constitute a vital aspect of ethical consideration in research. This helps the researcher and respondents in following principle/guidelines of voluntary participation, informed consent, anonymity, confidentiality especially during data collection.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Uzoigwe, Michael Chukwudi
Department, University & Country	University Calabar, Nigeria