

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_95620
Title of the Manuscript:	How do the Saudi Educational policies express the promotion of creativity among gifted students? Four embedded circles are suggested for improvement
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments			
1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)	Yes	In the introduction p 3 , 4 an extensive reiteration is observed in the use of terms such as creativity and giftedness, which is why a revision of the writing would be necessary.	
2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	Researchers do an excellent background search on the topic of interest in section 1.1. Conceptual Framework	
3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?	Yes	Although there is talk of a codification in the qualitative analysis of the information reflected in the documents, this is not visible in the analysis section, and how that information is identified in each one of them	
4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	It is suggested to separate the results of the discussion		
5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?	Although the theme is very interesting, reading the article would be better appreciated if it were synthesized more		
6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	Yes	The body of the article is in Times and the references in Calibri	
(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)			
Minor REVISION comments			
1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?			
Optional/General comments			

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Vivian Minnaard
Department, University & Country	Universidad Fraternidad de Santo Tomas de Aquino, Argentina