

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_95538
Title of the Manuscript:	BEYOND THE HASHTAGS: CONSTRUCTS OF POLITICAL SCIENCE STUDENTS ON SOCIAL MEDIA AS A FORM OF JUSTICE
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	<p>Reviewer's comment BEYOND THE HASHTAGS: CONSTRUCTS OF POLITICAL SCIENCE STUDENTS ON SOCIAL MEDIA AS A FORM OF JUSTICE</p> <p>This study entitled, Beyond the Hashtags: Constructs of Political Science Students on Social Media as a Form of Justice had its purpose to answer these two questions the constructs of Political Science students on Social Media as a form of justice and its effects to the perspectives of Political Science students on the concept of justice. The study implored a Qualitative Method using Phenomenological Study as its research design. It had utilized interview guide as its research instrument and a one-on-one interview for its data gathering. I would like underline that thematic analysis was used in the study for the data analysis.</p> <p>Very interesting are the finding of this research. It concluded that the perceptions of the Political Science students vary and could hold alike or different explanation from one another; therefore, it is guaranteed that participants would have different standpoints, and those who hold the same could be alike but the explanations underlying them may differ. Given the diversified answers, as a result, the researchers have verified that social media cannot be a form of justice but can also be used to propagate information and awareness. It also reduces the ignorance of netizens when it comes to the occurrences of the country and around the world. People on social media could also understand the story of both sides and weigh what is right and wrong, distinguishing what is true and not.</p> <p>The Author underline that the social media is a tool in which people can convey their feelings and experiences. It's an outlet for human behavior and public concern, but it is not enough to secure justice for those who seek it. In view of this, social media may not yet be able to achieve justice; though, it has the ability of influencing the perception of the person as it enables cognizance and other insights to consider, to empathize and to be perceived. Social media could also be used in the process of establishing public opinion by posting information, comments, and people exchanging opinions through the sharing of posts.</p> <p>It has come to a conclusion, and it is very important, that technology has reached the height of its momentum as it serves as a platform for people who wants to be the change; their voices be heard. Hashtags back then were just used for political publicity in line with a catchy slogan.</p> <p>An undoubted advantage of the reviewed work is the method of presenting the analyzed matter in a logical and clear structure. The advantage of the work is the way of narration, which allows the reader to recognize the credibility of the interpretation of the presented theories, facts, concepts, argumentations, and effects of project level strategies on performance of construction projects.</p> <p>Another advantage of the work is the use of impressive literature on the subject and sources. There are important in the scientific world: monographs and studies as well as articles printed in scientific journals. There are also theoretical works, based on which the Author create own theoretical and methodological approach.</p> <p>From this matter, subjected to critical, but at the same time constructive analyses, the Author create an innovative, methodically planned based on the adopted theoretical-methodological approach, logical and coherent transmission of innovative content of the research topic.</p> <p>I accept the manuscript for publication.</p>	<p>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</p>
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. 	<p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p>	

Review Form 1.7

<u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>		
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	No Optional/General comments	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Zdzisław W. Puślecki
Department, University & Country	Adam Mickiewicz University, Poland