

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_95825
Title of the Manuscript:	The Effect Of Financial Performance On Stock Price With Disclosure Of Corporate Social Responsibility As A Moderation In Infrastructure Companies
Type of the Article	Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajebea.com/index.php/AJEBA/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Manuscript is quite novel and of value to the scientific community</p> <p>Yes the title of the article is suitable.</p> <p>The abstract can be improved by giving more details on the research approach/design used and the population and sample size determination</p> <p>Subsections and structure of the document are in order.</p> <p>Yes the manuscript meets the scientific requirements</p> <p>The references are fairly fine though there is room for improvement in terms of more current sources.</p> <p>The manuscript has a lot of technical and grammatical errors and language editing may be of essence to improve the quality of the article. English must be used throughout the manuscript.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The manuscript has a lot of technical and grammatical errors and language editing may be of essence to improve the quality of the article. English must be used throughout the manuscript.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>It is not clear which Citation and Reference Style the Author is using. Author must follow the Citation and Reference Style prescribed by the Journal and apply it consistently.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Vusumuzi Sibanda
Department, University & Country	BA ISAGO University, Botswana