

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_95670
Title of the Manuscript:	ANALYSIS OF INFORMATION SYSTEM IMPLEMENTATION, COMPENSATION AND RELIABILITY OF INTERNAL CONTROL TO THE FINANCIAL FRAUD PREVENTION
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajebea.com/index.php/AJEBA/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	It is a good article for companies to consider and be careful about their information system . Fraud can happen for any company . yes yes yes it is ok but literature in general must be stronger for example (Fraud is a form of fraud that is intentionally carried) must be written more pleasantly yes	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Literature is weak Is ok after making stronger literature	
Optional/General comments	In general, it ok for publishing after using stronger literature	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Saeed Seyed Agha Banihashemi
Department, University & Country	School of International Relations, Iran