

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_95532
Title of the Manuscript:	AUDIT COMMITTEE ATTRIBUTES AND SHARE PRICE REACTION OF LISTED DEPOSIT MONEY BANKS IN NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajebo.com/index.php/AJEBA/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>yes yes yes yes yes</p> <p>Use more recent references</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The selected sample is insufficient to perform statistical analysis and this may cause selection bias. It would have been better for the author to choose other industries (except banks) to conduct research that were more frequent. - The contribution of the research should be described separately in the last paragraph of the introduction section - When there are more than two authors to refer them it should be used et al. - $F =$ the Function of the vector of the independent variables, it is not in the model. - It is better to use Dickey-Fuller Unit Root Test - A separate table should be inserted and the method of measuring each of the research variables should be described in it (by mentioning the reference). - The conclusion section is very brief and doesn't have any interpretation. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>There are some grammatical points in the text of the article that need to be corrected (in minor cases, they have not been observed).</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mahdi Filsaraei
Department, University & Country	HakimToos Higher Education Institute, Iran