

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_95719
Title of the Manuscript:	An assessment of Analgesic & Anti-inflammatory Activity Spondias mombin and Mapping of Variation of Potency Under the Light Geographical Contrast Based Phenomenon
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajarr.com/index.php/AJARR/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p><b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p><b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p><b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p><b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p><b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. Yes, it is! <i>The manuscript shows that Spondias mombin</i> leaf extract has anti-inflammatory and analgesic properties. This can be an alternative for the treatment and so, the conventional drugs could be used in a lower doses.</p> <p>2. I suggest to reformulate the title! It should be shorter and the english more clear.</p> <p>3. The abstract needs minor revision. I suggested some modification with red color, and the words covered by blue should be deleted.</p> <p>4. Yes, it contains: introduction, aim, methodology, results, discussion and conclusion. The introduction should contain few words about the leaf extracts. Rewrite the conclusion with short and clear sentences.</p> <p>5. The methodology is good, so scientifically is correct! But, the principle of each method and the units for each method should be described in few words. When we are saying that there are significant statistical differences (*), you should write the p value (eg. P&lt;0.05). The tables should be more clear and complete!</p> <p>6. I suggest some references about the composition of the leaf extract and how it was obtained etc.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	Major revision for the English language!	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Virgolici Bogdana
Department, University & Country	Carol Davila University of Medicine and Pharmacy, Romania