

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_95531
Title of the Manuscript:	A Study on Generalized Blaise Numbers
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarjom.com/index.php/ARJOM/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p><b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p><b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p><b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p><b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p><b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>Herein, the authors introduce and investigate the generalized Blaise sequences and they dealt with, in detail, two special cases, namely, Blaise and Blaise-Lucas sequences.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The manuscript is important to the field of number theory and special functions.</li> <li>2. The article is suitable for publication after addressing the following comments, listed below in bullet points.</li> <li>3. The abstract is comprehensive.</li> <li>4. All sections and subsections are appropriate.</li> <li>5. The mathematics of the paper is correct.</li> <li>6. The list of Refs should be updated with the Refs listed below.</li> </ol> <p>Here are my comments:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. The introductory section should be updated to cover: the main motivation of the work, the pros/cons of the work (if any).</li> <li>b. Some Lemmas are offered without proof, please give a sketch of the proof.</li> <li>c. Concluding remarks should be added.</li> <li>d. Comment on the future extensions of the work.</li> <li>e. Update the review literature with the following recent relevant work: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Advanced Studies in Contemporary Mathematics (Kyungshang) 28 (3), 357-367, 2018.</li> <li>• JP Journal of Algebra, Number Theory and Applications 38 (4), 349-362, 2016.</li> </ul> </li> </ol>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	Proofread the whole manuscript for typos and grammatical errors.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Youssri Hassan Youssri</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Cairo University, Egypt</b>