

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advances in Agricultural Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAAR_95668
Title of the Manuscript:	Investigation of agro-morphological characters between 34 accessions of Trifolium resupinatum L.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaar.com/index.php/AJAAR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>The paper is simple, but it exposes well the debated issue. The results are presented well, but important details such as the climate framework are missing. The conclusions are missing as a separate section and are not properly presented.</p> <p>The title is suitable.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>the conclusions are missing as a separate section.</p> <p>More data required.</p> <p>References are ok.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The work must be read carefully and punctuation marks and words written incorrectly in English must be corrected (some examples were given below).</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Abstract. Please replace "soft wares" with "softwares", and "calyx trichom" with "calyx trichome"</p> <p>Introduction. Fabaceae must be written in italics "<i>Fabaceae</i>".</p> <p>"Kurdestan" and "Afghanestan" please check and correct to "Kurdistan" and "Afghanistan"</p> <p>Please complete the phrase: "On the other hand, the MBA in Agribusiness Management program especially in forage legume marketing, has an important.....(?) (role, impact etc.?)."</p> <p>Matherial and Methods. The main climatic factors of the area should be briefly presented. You must add the Conclusion section at the end of the article, or if the journal format does not require it, then the conclusion must be better developed at the end of the article.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Filimon V. Razvan
Department, University & Country	Research Development Station for Viticulture and Winemaking, Romania