

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_95696
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of Drought on Sorghum Production and Its Adaptation Strategies in Baki district, Awdal region, Somaliland
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalair.com/index.php/AIR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Yes, manuscript is important for scientific community. Here the study was conducted on the impact of the drought on sorghum production. Yes, Title of the article is suitable. Yes, abstract of article is comprehensive. Yes, subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate. Yes, manuscript is scientifically correct. Yes, references are sufficient and recent.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, language or English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications.	
Optional/General comments	Here, in this study , Primary data were collected using a face-to-face interview method.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Amit Jaykumar Chinchawade
Department, University & Country	Sharad Institute of Technology College of Engineering, India