

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Advances in Research</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AIR_95649</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Olea Europaea as an Adsorbent for the Removal of Heavy Metal from Wastewater</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Research Article</b>

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalair.com/index.php/AIR/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? YES</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p><b>2. Is the title of the article suitable? NEEDS TO BE REFRAMED</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p><b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? NEEDS MODIFICATION</b></p> <p><b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? YES</b></p> <p><b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? YES</b></p> <p><b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. RECENT REFERENCES SHOULD BE ADDED</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p><b>MAJOR REVISION</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. "The liquid waste-wastewater- is..." Authors should refine this sentence.</li> <li>2. "In this background certain low cost and easily available biosorbent was used and reported in this study"- Authors should refine this sentence and state study of what they have done.</li> <li>3. The important results should be added in the abstract.</li> <li>4. The abstract should be arranged by considering the following points <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>· Problem</li> <li>· Objective</li> <li>· Materials</li> <li>· Results</li> <li>· Conclusion</li> </ul> </li> <li>5. Authors should add more information related to the study in the Introduction with recent references.</li> <li>6. Authors should provide the information that how the biosorbent material has been made for the present study.</li> <li>6. "Prior to 1914 only a few theoretical interpretations of biosorption isotherms were in use." Authors should provide reference.</li> <li>7. Authors should provide an optimization study</li> <li>8. Instead of writing "Similar trends were reported in the literature..." a table can be a better option to compare the results found in the literature studies and that of the present studies with proper references. Then the present work can be supported by a strong discussion.</li> <li>9. The English grammar and the typo error should be checked throughout the manuscript.</li> <li>10. Recent references should be added (Last 5-7 years preferable).</li> <li>11. Explain all the Figures and Tables in the respective text.</li> <li>12. Rewrite the title of the manuscript.</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? NEEDS IMPROVEMENT</b></p>		
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Deblina Dutta</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>SRM University, India</b>