

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Physical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_PSIJ_95174
Title of the Manuscript:	Receiver for linear Fresnel collector- A review
Type of the Article	Review Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalpsij.com/index.php/PSIJ/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments 1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b> 4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b> 5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b> 6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	<b>Yes</b> <b>Not Suitable, Title of the article should be : A Review of Linear Fresnel Collector Receivers used in Solar Thermal Technology</b>  <b>Yes article abstract written comprehensive manner But need some numerical outcome based for better clarification.</b>  <b>Yes Correct</b>  <b>No, Need with recent manner at least past 10 years from current</b>  1. In the abstract section quantified comparative result were absent 2. Keywords should be in alphabetically order, in brief and required only 5 3. Try to use citation of paper in the succeeding order in the literature review 4. Abbreviation and nomenclature should be required before introduction 5. Figures should be made in the high resolution manner 6. Bullet points should be used in the numbering system.	
<b>Minor REVISION</b> comments 1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	1. Some highlights of the manuscript required 2. Formatting of letter required in the whole manuscript	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	1. Grammatical mistake and the papers needs language editing 2. Comparative data by the different researchers missing 3. References should be needed in the journal format 4. Conclusion should be point wise	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Yogesh Agrawal</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Sagar Institute of Research &amp; Technology, India</b>