

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Ophthalmology Research: An International Journal</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_OR_95275</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Prevalence and Determinants of HIV Related Eye Diseases in Patients on Highly Active Anti-Retroviral Therapy in Katsina State, Nigeria</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalor.com/index.php/OR/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments  1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)  3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>  4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>  5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b>  6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b>  <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	<b>Yes, the manuscript is important for the scientific community because the study findings, if well publicized, will help in the management of ocular complications among people living with HIV.</b>  <b>Yes, the title is catchy enough.</b>  <b>Yes</b>  <b>Yes they are OK.</b>  <b>Yes</b>  <b>I suggest the author to update the references to capture more recent studies in this area.</b> <b>Okeke-Nwolisa et al.,2018 should be considered.</b>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments 1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	<b>Yes</b>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<b>The manuscript is good and should be considered.</b>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Okeke-Nwolisa, Benedictta Chinweoke</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Nnamdi Azikiwe University, Nigeria</b>