

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Ophthalmology Research: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_OR_95275
Title of the Manuscript:	Prevalence and Determinants of HIV Related Eye Diseases in Patients on Highly Active Anti-Retroviral Therapy in Katsina State, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalor.com/index.php/OR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1.- Since the appearance of HIV, a large number of ophthalmological alterations have been described that affect these patients at some point in their evolution and may even be the first sign of disseminated infection, so an adequate ophthalmological approach can help important ocular complications. The peripheral blood CD4 lymphocyte count has been shown from the beginning to be a reliable indicator of the risk of ocular complications in HIV infection, while HAART modifies the course and frequency of presentation of AIDS ocular pathologies. In this context, the description of the ocular manifestations and their frequency of appearance is important for their early identification and treatment, avoiding further complications. 2.- The title is appropriate for the study carried out. 3.- The abstract clearly describes the most important parts of the study. 4.- The sections and structure of the article are consistent with writing and the scientific method. 5.- The article has an adequate design and is very explicit in the description of the methodology used. However, it is suggested to review the amounts shown in Table 2, in the part of patients in HART and duration of HART. 6.- Most (76%) of the cited references are more than ten years old since their publication. It is suggested to update the bibliographical references by citing documents less than 5 years old since their publication.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Language quality is adequate for scholarly communications	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Manuel González González
Department, University & Country	National Institute for Public Health Research, Ecuador