

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Ophthalmology Research: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_OR_95245
Title of the Manuscript:	Orbital cellulitis revealing neglected intraocular foreign bodies: about 58 cases
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalor.com/index.php/OR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1- Change the title to(cases of Orbital cellulitis revealing neglected intraocular foreign bodies)</p> <p>2- In the abstract,, the method part reports the name of the department, not our department</p> <p>3- Remove the discussion part from the abstract and rearrange the conclusion to be clear</p> <p>4- The introduction needs additional paragraphs about the literature review on previous similar studies in addition to a paragraph about the significance of the current study (why it's important to read a case about this subject)</p> <p>5- Change goals to objectives or aims</p> <p>6- In the method part add the information that has been taken from each case and how it would be classified and then represented in the results part</p> <p>7- The demographic data and the main results in the result section should be represented as a table</p> <p>8- The discussion part contains no comparative studies and it's just a representation of the results or information without references</p> <p>9- The references are few and mostly old</p> <p>10- There is no mentioned ethical approval of the study</p>	
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>There are many grammatical errors and some sentences are not clear I suggest to use Grammarly program to correct these errors</p>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>		

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Samer Imad Mohammed
Department, University & Country	University of Baghdad, Iraq