

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Ophthalmology Research: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_OR_94465
Title of the Manuscript:	Functional and hemodynamic efficacy of non-poliferative diabetic retinopathy treatment by endonasal electrophoresis of Tanakan
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**Lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalor.com/index.php/OR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>1 I suggested that baseline characteristics between the 2 groups are important such as systemic vascular diseases that may affect vascular blood flows and probably cause some bias in interpreting the result .In my view, the authors should provide all baseline , demographic characteristics in more detail.</p> <p>2 This is my concern. Does the study have allocation concealment , single blind the observer? .Please explain more in this process because it can produce some selection bias in the study.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	No	
Optional/General comments	No	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Parinee Kemchoknatee
Department, University & Country	Rangsit University, Thailand