

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Ophthalmology Research: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_OR_94465
Title of the Manuscript:	Functional and hemodynamic efficacy of non-proliferative diabetic retinopathy treatment by endonasal electrophoresis of Tanakan
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalor.com/index.php/OR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	In materials and methods, it is suggested to review the first paragraphs, since there is a verbatim copy without any citation. The article is interesting and relevant given the theme, however, It is important to mention, what was the treatment time to obtain the exposed results, particularizing in cases 1 and 2. For the rest, the article is quite clear and concise in terms of the data collected, although it would have been ideal if it had been accompanied by graph tables showing the indicators and other measured variables.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jairo Eduardo Márquez Díaz
Department, University & Country	Cundinamarca University, Colombia