

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Scientific Research and Reports</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JSRR_95438</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Online Academic learning during the COVID-19 Pandemic</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Review Article</b>

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalsrr.com/index.php/JSRR/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript, and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments  <b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  <b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)  <b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>  <b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>  <b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b>  <b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b>  <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	Although the theme relevance, the manuscript does not explore it properly. The article's title does not reflect what it ends up discussing: academic cheating behaviors during examinations. So, I suggest authors write a title more related to the article's content. Even though references are extensive, the text is not reflective. The literature review is inexistent, not presenting concepts to support what the article intends to discuss.  Regarding the rest of the manuscript, there is no methodology section, nor data analysis and a proper discussion. Furthermore, although authors provide current references, there is no discussion, missing the opportunity to deepen the understanding of the phenomena in question: academic cheating behaviors during examinations. Recommendations are the best part of the manuscript. Therefore, although the manuscript is suitable for the level of an academic journal, it is highly encouraged that it meets the suggestions noted above.	
<b>Minor REVISION</b> comments <b>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Ivana Maria Gamerman</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Center of Human and Social Science, Federal University of Southern Bahia, Brazil</b>