

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_95438
Title of the Manuscript:	Online Academic learning during the COVID-19 Pandemic
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljsrr.com/index.php/JSRR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Yes</p> <p>2. The title is not necessarily suitable. I suggest to add the explanation, whether it is case study or an essay containing personal opinion and fact found during the examination. I recommend to add the term "Policy Review" to the title which is "Policy Review: Online Academic Learning during the Covid-19 Pandemic".</p> <p>3. The article is not comprehensive enough. Your abstract, I think, doesn't include the key of research article. In other words, it is too general. It should consist of the explanation of why this focus influences on people's life.</p> <p>4. In my opinion, the structure is quite appropriate. On the contrary, it would be better, if you change subtitle "Our Observations" into "Online Learning Process".</p> <p>5. Not really. I suggest, you have to mention the method you used to write your scientific essay before you present your research result.</p> <p>6. Yes</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	You are recommended to only write references that you mention in your manuscript.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ana Purwitasari
Department, University & Country	Freiburg University, Germany