

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_95189
Title of the Manuscript:	Innovation in Isolation Preschool Children Learn English during Quarantine: Activity-based Crash Course for Parents in EFL Contexts
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljsrr.com/index.php/JSRR/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1.This manuscript is an important as it applies an activity-based Learning model which enable the parents to help their children learn English indirectly during the quarantine or any other crisis periods. It suggests a sessional activity-based course, i.e. a crash course, for preschool children to help them learn English at home, in EFL contexts in general, and for Arab parents, in particular.</p> <p>2. The title is very suitable to the article</p> <p>3.the abstract covers the article comprehensibly</p> <p>4. There are some subsections which are not arranged correctly. The subsection entitled (8. outcomes) must be changed into (8. Results and discussion). In this way, the subsection entitled (10. Discussion) must be deleted and then, it must be merged with no. 8. Results &discussion)</p> <p>5. the manuscript is scientifically correct since the researcher gave a literature review about</p> <p>The following topics: previous studies on positive of home education on children development, home-education and its relationship to the crisis of coronavirus pandemic, the reasons behind using the model of activity-based Learning and its advantages in teaching English as a foreign language, contents of the Suggested Crash Course, the instrument used which is the observation process, results and conclusions.</p> <p>6.The references are sufficient and somewhat recent that can provide significant information for the manuscript.</p> <p>7. One important point should be mentioned here is that this study lacks previous studies which applied the activity-based Learning model to see whether this model has only positive or negative effect on children development. This is also important to compare the results in this study with the findings of other studies.</p> <p>8. EFL term must be used instead of FL (English as foreign language) since this term is commonly used as an additional language in a non-English speaking country (Arabs). crisis periods in the future.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The English language is very clear and simple which is suitable for scholarly communications and it can be understood correctly.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Zainab Abbodi Ali
Department, University & Country	Health and Medical Technical College, Southern Technical University, Iraq