

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_95189
Title of the Manuscript:	Innovation in Isolation Preschool Children Learn English during Quarantine: Activity-based Crash Course for Parents in EFL Contexts
Type of the Article	Research, case study

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalsrr.com/index.php/JSRR/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. The paper is very well-written, consistent, and well researched. It would be a good resource for the academic community, as well as the larger public interested in the topic (teaching English at home – largely attractive to a wide range of readers).</p> <p>2. Yes, though slightly too long</p> <p>3. Yes. I would not mention 'female': 'a four-year-old female child' (irrelevant and it is not relevant to make the study gender-specific)</p> <p>4. Yes, very appropriate.</p> <p>5. Yes, it is absolutely correct and fair. Some citations have a slightly different letter format, due to copy-pasting a few short fragments. Although it is acceptable, as they are in between inverted commas, the text should be selected and formatted in the same style.</p> <p>6. Yes. I suggest not mentioning the gender/sex of the child in question: 'a four-year-old female child' – as it is not a good idea to make the study gender-specific.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	Excellent work, on the whole.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Alina Andreea Urlica
Department, University & Country	University of Life Sciences "King Michale I", Romania