

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_95033
Title of the Manuscript:	Effects of folic acid supplementation on anxiety- and depression-like behaviours, and locomotion in captive male African Giant rats (<i>Cricetomys gambianus</i>, Waterhouse – 1840) subjected to chronic physical immobilisation
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljsrr.com/index.php/JSRR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Yes The title is a bit long, though it makes sense. Yes There are some differences from the submission rules, but generally there are no problems. Yes N/A	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	If possible, it is advisable to have a native speaker check and correct the grammar.	
Optional/General comments	This study examined the effect of folic acid on the decline in brain function in rats in physical restraint. The question in the discussion is, if folic acid is effective in nerve regeneration, does it regenerate nerves in this time? Is folic acid directly effective in improving nerve transmission? Limitations of the study need to be mentioned. An example of a limitation of this study is that it is only a behavioral experiment, and to examine the mechanism, it would be necessary to examine biochemical data and other data showing neural regeneration that would indicate this.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Takahiko Nagamine
Department, University & Country	Tokyo Medical and Dental University, Japan