

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_95033
Title of the Manuscript:	Effects of folic acid supplementation on anxiety- and depression-like behaviours, and locomotion in captive male African Giant rats (<i>Cricetomys gambianus</i>, Waterhouse – 1840) subjected to chronic physical immobilisation
Type of the Article	Research article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljsrr.com/index.php/JSRR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript, and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>22 studies in 8 countries are carried out in more than thousand participants with depression and it was observed that higher intake of folate decreases depression.so the manuscript does not provide ant new information regarding this field.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Though suitable, it can be improved	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Justification regrading use of folic acid must be strong. Pathway regarding anxiety in which folic acid is involved may be describe.</p> <p>Figures of the EPM and open field apparatus are not proper. In Open field apparatus squares are marked with chalk which can be erased and may be changed during re-testing.</p> <p>More studies with combination of drugs with folic acid should be carried out to justify the novelty of the research.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Shradha Bisht
Department, University & Country	Amity Institute of Pharmacy, Amity University, India