

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_95399
Title of the Manuscript:	Overview on Juvenile Psoriatic Arthritis
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The topic is important but this unfortunately does not seem to contribute new perspectives. 2. Overview, yes; but very superficial. 3. Superficial 4. Yes, but superficial. 5. Yes, but only as far as it goes. Subject covered very superficially and incompletely. 6. There are many more publications on this topic than author seems to admit Just perusing google brings up pages of citations. 7. What the author seems to miss is that there are actually five types of psoriatic arthritis, and three addition associations in adults. The latter may not be pertinent, but any discussion of juvenile psoriatic arthritis needs to address those five types. 8. Corrections Intro 2nd para, fourth line – developing psoriatic arthritis 3rd para, 4th line – replace “having” with “if” and place “are present” after “following” 4th para, 7th line – should be “plates are” and delete the first “the” 4th para, 11th line – requires citation 4th para, 11th and 12th lines – sentence as written is inconsistent. 5th para, 4th line – place “and” after “weeks” and “they” before “meet” Also note that the sentence appears redundant, 6th para, 2nd d line – replace “a need for” with “part of all, expect perhaps the systemic form of” Etiology, 3rd-5th lines – requires citation Lines 6-7 – reference 7 is a tertiary citation. Primary citations required! Re HLA-B7, etc – replace ‘have’ with “are associated with” Epidemiology, first para, line 5 – replace white with “Caucasian” First para, line & 30?% and provide primary citation 2nd para, line 6 – delete “an” and provide primary citation. 2nd para, 5th line – JIA4? 3rd para – replace “the...common” with “predominate” and the whole sentence requires citations, as do all the following comments in the paragraph and require primary citations. 3rd para, line 10, replace “men” with “males” Discussion, para 2, line 3 – replace “ago” with “prior” 2nd para, line 8 – differs – how? 3rd para, line 10 –“juvenile rheumatoid arthritis” – what do you mean by this outdated term? Treatment – you left out occupational therapy, perhaps the most important component. Additionally, the paragraph treats the subject very superficially. Conclusion, line 3 – place “arbitrarily” before “categorized” (note correct spelling) and this point should be made throughout – the division of JIA is arbitrary as presented and fails to include reactive arthritis and fails to address the issue of “juvenile spondyloarthopathy.” 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	yes	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Bruce Rothschild
Department, University & Country	IU Health, USA