

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_95305
Title of the Manuscript:	The impact of self-medication in pandemic times COVID-19 for cancer patients
Type of the Article	Opinion Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalipri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	 1. This manuscript is interesting and important to prevent uncontrolled use of drugs with unproven efficacy against COVID-19 in patients with breast and prostate cancer. However, the title of the article does not correspond to the work carried out. 2. The title does not match the work. I offer "Assessment of risks of uncontrolled use of drugs with expected effectiveness against COVID-19 by patients with breast and prostate cancer at the pre-hospital stage" 3. in my opinion, information about uncontrolled use of drugs at the prehospital stage in Brazil should be added to the abstract. 4. Subdivisions and structure of the manuscript are appropriate. 5. I consider your manuscript to be scientifically correct. 6. References are sufficient and recent references.	 <ul style="list-style-type: none">We agree with the suggestion to change the title.The information has been added to the abstract.
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	The article is interesting and important for the prevention of uncontrolled use of drugs with probable effectiveness of use not only for COVID-19 against the background of concomitant oncological pathology in pre-hospital pathology, but also for other comorbid conditions. However, it is mandatory to correct the name!	<ul style="list-style-type: none">We agreed and changed the title as per suggestion.

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	