

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_95141
Title of the Manuscript:	Diagnosis, Treatment & Rehabilitation of Rotator Cuff Acute & Chronic injury in Throwing Sports athletes (Cricket & Baseball) by Isometric, Isotonic and Theraband Exercises
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The manuscript adds to the pre-existing literature available. The authors detail about the various tests of clinical assessment for diagnosis of shoulder injuries. They detail about shoulder injuries in throwing sports athletes who were provided treatment based on rehabilitation protocol of isometric, isotonic and theraband exercises. The results are indicative of return of each athlete to playing condition with normal recovery. The study present a control group also in their methodology. "Diagnosis, Treatment & Rehabilitation of Rotator Cuff Acute & Chronic injury in Throwing Sports athletes (Cricket & Baseball) by Isometric, Isotonic and Theraband Exercises" can be better rephrased as "Rehabilitation outcomes of acute and chronic rotator cuff injury in athletes of throwing sports: A cross-sectional study"</p> <p>A structured abstract with subsections of introduction, methodology, results and conclusion can be provided for more clarity and comprehensive presentation.</p> <p>The methodology lacked clear mention on inclusion and exclusion criteria.</p> <p>The criteria elaborated in table 4 lacks a reference.</p> <p>The section on diagnostic tests do not provide references for the different evaluation tests and neither they give any information on copyright information if obtained by the authors. The various figures and illustration lack any definitive labelling and have not been referenced properly in the text of manuscript. The permission to use the figures and illustration have not been indicated.</p> <p>The article can benefit with more information on the resistance bands and their categorization for this modality of management. The implied strength has not been measured and detailed. Kindly clarify.</p> <p>The table 5 analytical results are not clear and understood. Kindly clarify.</p> <p>The article does not mention any evaluation parameter for pain (such as VAS SCORE).</p> <p>There is no mention of any complications which may compromise the results, or of any poor recovery or progression to full tear requiring surgical management in the study participants. The authors provide a near complete recovery in all patients which may be reconfirmed.</p> <p>The conclusion can benefit with more evidence-based support in the manuscript</p> <p>The manuscript can benefit with more evidence, tabulation of results and comparative analysis of literature studies.</p> <p>The references include recent and relevant references. They also include systematic reviews and research articles.</p> <p>The manuscript lacks in mention of limitations of the study.</p> <p>The manuscript can benefit more in discussion with tabulation of data and comparative analysis with other studies to support their study.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The manuscript has innumerable grammatical errors which needs thorough language editing for better interpretation.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Gaurav Govil
Department, University & Country	Max Super Specialty Hospital, India