

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_95116
Title of the Manuscript:	An association between CT SCORE and the severity of COVID-19
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments 1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b> 4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b> 5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b> 6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	1- The manuscript has an important hypothesis to correlate CT scores with Covid-19 severity. The author/s discussed an essential problem of early diagnosis of covid-19 patients. 2- Yes 3- No, detailed information is missing in the methods and results sections. 4- The whole manuscript is meeting the scientific research's guidelines of writing; however, the results section is organized improperly, all tables are presented before results explanations. 5- The study design is weak to prove the hypothesis of covid-19 severity correlation with CT scores, the main limitation of the current study is covid-19 patients' days of infection, such information is missing, another limitation is covid-19 co-infections. The statistical analysis is not appropriate for validating the results, R correlation test is more convenient. 6- Some references are missing from lines 18-32, most of them are recent <b>Additional suggestions: For validating the results of the current study, I suggest author/s to correlate RT-PCR viral loads of covid-19 patients with CT scan scores.</b>	
<b>Minor REVISION</b> comments 1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Many grammar errors are detected through the manuscript, ei. Spelling, grammars, word choices errors, complex sentences, etc.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Non	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Zahraa Kamaz</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Iraq</b>