

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_95116
Title of the Manuscript:	An association between CT SCORE and the severity of COVID-19
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? yes (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? yes (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Need some references</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? yes</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? yes</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. Add 5 modern references last 5 years</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>1- To determine the relationship between CT SCORE and the severity of COVID-19 and thus understand the diagnosis / severity of the disease, it was compared to the same conditions between both sexes and for older ages in particular.</p> <p>2- Was it taken into account that the injured are those who have no history of previous lung injuries?</p> <p>3- Are the patients as groups taken into account free from stimuli such as smoking and alcoholic beverages for the entire study?</p> <p>4- Was the type of nutrition established for the infected people known, as well as the regions and environment to which they belong?</p> <p>5- There are cases of infection among sports practitioners of all kinds, who were infected and died of the disease, even though they had better immune characteristics than others. What is the reason for this?</p> <p>6- If the sample size increases further, will it affect the results of the study?</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? good</p>	<p>7- Are there vaccines given to the infected, or was this confirmed if the vaccines were taken? How is the comparison between the vaccinated groups and the unvaccinated groups?</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>8- Was the validity of the information given confirmed during the conduct of the study, i.e. was the time of infection verified, because the incubation period of the virus is only 14 days?</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Qusay Kh. Al-Dulamey
Department, University & Country	Mosul University, Iraq