

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_95116
Title of the Manuscript:	An association between CT SCORE and the severity of COVID-19
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	In my In my opinion, it is important since it shows the importance of having an indicator that in a short time shows in a pandemic that an individual could be infected, before they can infect others close to them. The title is adequate. The abstract of the article is understandable. The subsections and structure of the article are appropriate. In my opinion it is scientifically important, it has a very specific focus in trying to show if the CT SCORE is related to the severity of COVID-19. They are sufficient and quite recent; most are from the year 2020.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Language and English quality are suitable.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Maria Cristina Gonzalez Torres
Department, University & Country	Universidad Autónoma Metropolitana, Mexico