

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRIMPS_88204
Title of the Manuscript:	SYNERGISM OF AZADIRACHTA INDICA AND NIGELLA SATIVA ON PLASMODIUM FALCIPARUM: STUDY ON WISTAR RATS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrimps.com/index.php/AJRIMPS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Please present the data appropriately (like this <math>2 \pm 0.06</math>). You do not need to write mean std. error of mean inside table. Below the table you can write data were expressed as mean plus standard error in mean.</li> <li>2. What is the unit of data obtained after phytochemical analysis?</li> <li>3. How did you find the quantity of these chemicals by using qualitative method? its surprising for me. Author should justify.</li> <li>4. Citation in text is different from format of journal.</li> <li>5. In the research article you do not describe literature review separately. Please shorten your introduction section and merge literature review with introduction. It is very lengthy now</li> <li>6. Please go through published article of latest issue from this journal to make the appropriate format of abstract</li> </ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Reference pattern is completely different than journal format. Please correct it.</li> <li>2. In the Y axis authors should mention the parameter (like growth inhibition percentage) in the graph.</li> <li>3. In the result section (table) author have not mentioned whcih adata are statistivally significant which are not.</li> <li>4. Write unit of hours uniformly throughout the manuscript. In some place you have written as hrs in some place hours. it's not appropriate.</li> <li>5. naming should be like as <i>Aadirachta indica</i> seed.</li> <li>6. While writing LD50 write like LD<sub>50</sub></li> </ol>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

[Review Form 1.6](#)

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Jitendra Pandey
Department, University & Country	Crimson College of Technology, Affiliated with Pokhara University, Nepal