

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Materials Science Research and Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JMSRR_95024
Title of the Manuscript:	DESIGN AND FABRICATION OF AN AGRICULTURAL SOLAR DRYER: DRYING OF CHILI PEPPER.
Type of the Article	Research article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://journaljmsrr.com/index.php/JMSRR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Yes</p> <p>2. The title should be revised (i.e: you have mentioned fabrication but I didn't see anything about fabrication in whole paper. What is there it is only modelling)</p> <p>3. Abstract should be reformulated</p> <p>4. No, typing error in section 2.3, please revise, write in appropriate ways: 2.4.1, 2.4.2, Try to separate the figures: 4 a, 4 b and indicate their names. Under section 2.6 add some explanations at least on paragraph. Keep the consistent in figures captions in whole paper. Figures are not indicated in the main text. Please update Revise all tables. Why you put empty table in table 7? Under result and discussion section, you should make a comparison between your results and others (previous researchers findings.)</p> <p>5. It can be after making some corrections</p> <p>6. References are not enough. He/she can extent up to 20 even more</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The entire manuscript requires careful editing as it is chatty that makes it unduly lengthy</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Suggest conducting a broader literature by including some of the relevant references</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Eustache Hakizimana
Department, University & Country	University of Rwanda, Rwanda