

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_JESBS_95315 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | PHYSICAL EDUCATION TEACHERS IN TUNISIA CONCEPTION OF TRAINING ACTORS AS A SOURCE OF VULNERABILITY |
| Type of the Article | Manuscript |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljesbs.com/index.php/JESBS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|---|
| <p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p> | <p>1. The manuscript is important, although the impact is not high for the scientific community, but at a general level it does have a discussion contribution.</p> <p>2. Yes, the topic is understood and related to the title</p> <p>3. The abstract can be improved, try to focus on the main aspects of the text.</p> <p>4. The structure of the manuscript is understood, it is clear and it allows to organize the information sequentially.</p> <p>5. The subject addressed is not purely scientific, for which the study could be deepened, but the discussion of some aspects discussed about education is very important.</p> <p>6. Most of the references are very old to the present day of the study, which created some concern, if the subject is new, why cite authors from 1978, 2001, among others. The livelihoods of education can be outlined based on current literature, we are in 2023, there are many studies on it to date.</p> <p>Additional: Rewrite the conclusions item since it is extremely confusing, they are the conclusions, not a discussion. The theme of the manuscript is interesting, however, the way it is concluded loses value.</p> | |
| <p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p> | Yes. | |
| <p>Optional/General comments</p> | | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| <p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p> | <p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Name: | Janeth Marlene Quispe Aviles |
| Department, University & Country | Sao Paulo University, Brazil |