

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JESBS_95315
Title of the Manuscript:	PHYSICAL EDUCATION TEACHERS IN TUNISIA CONCEPTION OF TRAINING ACTORS AS A SOURCE OF VULNERABILITY
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljesbs.com/index.php/JESBS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The manuscript is important for scientific community, it is contribution towards this particular research field is of importance. Yes, the title is suitable for the article, it is well articulated. The abstract is poorly written, the researcher/s should consider the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The background is insufficient – the reader may not be well informed about what the research is about. • Grammar – the words are all over and some are not written correctly. The researchers should consider looking at line spaces etc. • There is no mention of what type of method/s were utilized to conduct the study – if whether the study was conducted using qualitative or quantitative. • Who were the participants? • What type of sampling techniques were used? • The results are mentioned, which is good, but I feel the researcher/s should have added overarchy findings <p>The subsections are partially done in a satisfactory manner; however, the structure of the manuscript is not appropriately done. The researcher/s provided an introduction but did not do subsection for literature review which was going to outline the compelling evidence for the current study to be conducted. Yes, it is scientifically correct The references are sufficient. Further, the researcher/s must pay attention to this:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The research methodology is insufficient – what research design/ method was chosen to conduct the study? How participants were recruited? Sampling technique employed? What is the inclusion and exclusion criteria? What was the research instruments? 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes, but some how there is a lack of scholarly communications	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	It is recommended that the manuscript be professionally edited, thereafter a proof of editing must be provided to the journal.	

PART 2:

Review Form 1.7

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> How was confidentiality ensured? Since interviews were recorded – where is data stored? for how long? Who has access to it? Permission to conduct the study was sought from who?	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Andile Samkele Masuku
Department, University & Country	Durban University of Technology, South Africa