

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_95278
Title of the Manuscript:	COMPARATIVE STUDY OF CEMENT REPLACEMENT WITH WASTE PLASTIC IN INTERLOCKING PAVING STONE FOR HIGHWAY CONSTRUCTION IN NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljerr.com/index.php/JERR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. The abstract and conclusion should be modified to give more insights into the work.</p> <p>2. You should add more statistical analysis to add more value to the results and the discussion.</p> <p>The reference section is extremely abstracted: You should add these references to enhance the literature review section:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • https://doi.org/10.1109/TITS.2022.3189770. • https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2022.118134. • https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2022.3182046. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Follow the modifications made in the original manuscript in review mode.	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	None.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mahmoud Owais
Department, University & Country	Assiut University, Egypt