

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_95278
Title of the Manuscript:	COMPARATIVE STUDY OF CEMENT REPLACEMENT WITH WASTE PLASTIC IN INTERLOCKING PAVING STONE FOR HIGHWAY CONSTRUCTION IN NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljerr.com/index.php/JERR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	The manuscript is very important for scientific community The title is suitable Very comprehensive and concise, but paragraphing is rarely acceptable in Abstract Appropriate, but the numbering of the section is not. '3 Methodology ' should be '2 methodology' and so on. This means 5 section instead of six. The manuscript is scientifically okay The references are recent but not sufficient: should be up to 12 to 15 There pictures in the paper which should be numbered as Plate, not Figure	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes; good	
Optional/General comments	The sections should re-numbered, numbering of pictures should be in plates and Drawings in Figures Abstract should not contain paragraphs	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Adinna Boniface Okafor
Department, University & Country	Nnamdi Azikiwe University, Nigeria