

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_85569
Title of the Manuscript:	Investigating the impact of sieve analysis on the choice of gravel pack design for wells in unconsolidated sandstone formations in the Niger Delta
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljerr.com/index.php/JERR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	What is the innovation of the manuscript? Must be clarified in the abstract. Introduction must be including the problem definition, the main purpose, the innovation(s), or literature review in accordance to main purpose. The quality assurance of the results of the manuscript remains the main challenge ahead, as exemplified by the fact that a most of the existing study in the literature lack adequate validation.	
Minor REVISION comments	Results need to be improved by providing the necessary details. Discussion needs to be rewritten in relation to the goal of the study	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Lim Hwee San
Department, University & Country	School of Physics, Universiti Sains Malaysia, Malaysia