

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Energy Research and Reviews</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JENRR_95076
Title of the Manuscript:	Fabrication and Characterization of Charcoal briquettes fuel from a blend of coconut husk and corncob
Type of the Article	ORIGINAL RESEARCH PAPER

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljenrr.com/index.php/JENRR/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>The article contributes to the development of renewable fuels, as well as the use of food waste.</p> <p>The title is in line with the theme addressed in the article.</p> <p>The article presents simple and easy-to-understand sentences, with very adequate subsections and structure of the manuscript.</p> <p>References are not in accordance with the JENRR's rules.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></li> </ol>	<p>Yes. The language/English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p><b>Correct in the abstract:</b> where it reads...fixed carbon decreased from 74.20% to 75.13%, change to...fixed carbon increased from 74.20% to 75.13%.</p> <p><b>Methodoly:</b> it is very important to add the ASTM methods used in the methodology.</p> <p><b>Results and Discussions:</b> There are many similar articles in the literature to be used as comparative references with the results presented.</p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Cristiane Daliassi Ramos De Souza</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Federal University of Amazonas, Brazil</b>