

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Economics, Management and Trade</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEMT_91297
Title of the Manuscript:	FUNDING CAMEROONIAN ENTERPRISES THROUGH THE STOCK EXCHANGE MARKET: ON THE QUEST FOR IMPEDIMENTS
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljemt.com/index.php/JEMT/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Abstract: extending with some additional information's. What is the originality of this research? Paper research gap and originality should be better presented. I recommend adding some new research in the field. Connecting the result to the existing literature. Please consider this structure for manuscript final part. -Discussion -Conclusion -Managerial Implication -Practical/Social Implications Questions to be answered: What practical/professional and academic consequences will this study have for the future of scientific literature (theoretical contributions)? Why is this study necessary? should make clear arguments to explain what the originality and value of the proposed model is. This should be stated in the final paragraphs of introduction and conclusion sections.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Improving the literature. Language review Introduction improving the literature and the references – something new Summary of Findings link to the existing literature	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Fülöp Melinda Timea
Department, University & Country	Romania