

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Experimental Agriculture International</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JEAI_94409</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Biology of rice bacterial brown stripe pathogen and conventional strategies for its management</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Review Article</b>

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljeai.com/index.php/JEAI/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>This review paper is very shallow in the content of present information collected and would only benefit a narrow range of readers. A review paper is meant to bring out the most up-to-date knowledge about a specific field of review. This is not exactly the case here especially that they intended to review the biology of the pathogen. I have suggested to the authors to</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) State the statistical figures (productivity, acreage, loss values etc) and quote the source/reference in your introduction</li> <li>2) Merge some related sections (taxonomy, biology etc) for the paper to flow. Ensur correct naming of the causal organism. (I have made the suggestion in the paper itself)</li> <li>3) Include a section on latest advances in diagnosis of the disease and its pathogen (symptom, cultural, serological and molecular based)</li> <li>4) Expand and include a section on the infection process, the pathogen effectors at play and how symptoms are ultimately exhibited. There are publications on this subject which they must review</li> <li>5) Should revisit e the English grammar. They can ask a competent person or app to support</li> <li>6) They should not attempt to lecture nor define known concepts as they have done throughout the doc especially in the disease management section but they should rather present available knowledge.</li> <li>7) Describe the management strategies as stated in the title, else the ones described are the different technologies available for management ( refer to the detailed comment in section 8.11</li> </ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Editor could give further guidance	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Ssekiwoko Fred</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>National Agricultural Research Organization, Uganda</b>