

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_95378
Title of the Manuscript:	CORRELATION OF ULTRASOUND UMBILICAL VENOUS BLOOD FLOW IN NORMAL PREGNANCY WITH GESTATIONAL AGE AND FOETAL WEIGHT
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Formatted: Font: 10 pt

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljamps.com/index.php/JAMPS/editorial-policy>)

Formatted: Font: 10 pt

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)	1. Yes. This manuscript provides a framework or baseline Doppler studies for normal population in this locality thus may serve as a foundation for further foetal studies.	
2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	2. Yes	
3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?	3. The conclusion in the abstract contains 'The linear increase in UV blood flow volume with foetal BPD and AC suggests that these three parameters could be used in combination to estimate both GA and FW.' Which is not present in the conclusion at the end of the manuscript. Furthermore, this sentence needs further justification as most centres nowadays are using BPD, AC and FL to estimate the gestational age and UV may not be the first parameters to estimate the gestational age.	
4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	4. Yes	
5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?	5. Yes	
6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	6. No, Suggestion : F. Figueras, HYPERLINK "https://obgyn.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/action/doSearch?ContribAuthorRaw=Fern%C3%A1ndez%2C+S" S. Fernández HYPERLINK "https://obgyn.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/action/doSearch?ContribAuthorRaw=Hern%C3%A1ndez-Andrade%2C+E" E. Hernández-Andrade HYPERLINK "https://obgyn.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/action/doSearch?ContribAuthorRaw=Gratac%C3%B3s%2C+E" E. Gratacós Umbilical venous blood flow measurement: accuracy and reproducibility ISUOG 2008;32:4 September 2008 Pages 587-591.	
Minor REVISION comments		
1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments		
	Typing error in the 3 rd line of the 3 rd page.	

- Formatted: Font: 10 pt
- Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial, 10 pt
- Formatted: Font: 10 pt
- Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial, 10 pt
- Formatted
- Formatted: Indent: Left: 0.5", No bullets or numbering
- Formatted: Font: 10 pt
- Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial, 10 pt
- Formatted: Numbered + Level: 1 + Numbering Style: 1, 2, 3, ... + Start at: 1 + Alignment: Left + Aligned at: 0" + Indent at: 0.25"
- Formatted: Font: 10 pt
- Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial, 10 pt
- Formatted: Font: 10 pt
- Formatted: Font: (Asian) Chinese (Traditional, Taiwan)
- Formatted
- Formatted
- Formatted
- Formatted: Indent: Left: 0.5", No bullets or numbering
- Formatted: Numbered + Level: 1 + Numbering Style: 1, 2, 3, ... + Start at: 1 + Alignment: Left + Aligned at: 0" + Indent at: 0.25"
- Formatted: Font: 10 pt
- Formatted: Font: 10 pt
- Formatted: Font: 10 pt
- Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial, 10 pt
- Formatted: Font: 10 pt, Not Bold
- Formatted: Font: 10 pt
- Formatted: Font: (Asian) Chinese (Traditional, Taiwan)
- Formatted: Font: 10 pt
- Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial, 10 pt
- Formatted: Font: (Asian) Chinese (Traditional, Taiwan)
- Formatted: Font: 10 pt
- Formatted: Superscript
- Formatted: Superscript
- Formatted: Font: (Asian) Chinese (Traditional, Taiwan)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her

Review Form 1.7

		<i>feedback here</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Li Kwok To Thomas
Department, University & Country	University of Hong Kong, China

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial, 10 pt
Formatted Table

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial, 10 pt, Bold

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial, 10 pt

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial, 10 pt

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial, 10 pt