

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_95359
Title of the Manuscript:	Myocardial revascularization in stable coronary artery disease in patients with and without diabetes
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes No, looking like A comparative study of the outcome of medical treatment and different types of revascularization techniques in stable coronary angina Yes Not written under different subsections Presentation is not effective and is not under standard subsections Yes/none</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Title and content of the article is not appropriate Introduction to stable CAD or different types of treatment not mentioned Aim and objective of the study not clear Methodology not mentioned, inclusion and exclusion criteria for different trials included in the study shall be mentioned Results of different clinical trials and out come of meta-analysis shall be mentioned in the form of table or graph Discussion of various trials under different headings shall be made Conclusion is very general, shall be based on this study In the title study subjects are Diabetic and non-diabetic, in the article special emphasis is not seen 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Shall be revised	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	Whether it is original article or review article not clear? In conclusion, the word 'review' is used	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Reena R
Department, University & Country	Rajarajeswari Medical College and Hospital, Dr. M.G.R. Educational and Research Institute, India